

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary****Application No.**

10/524,735

**Applicant(s)**

STEIGER, MICHEL

**Examiner**

Jody L. Karol

**Art Unit**

1627

**All Participants:**(1) Jody L. Karol(2) Diane Furman**Status of Application:** 71 - Response to Non-Final Office Action forwarded to Examiner(3) Yong Chong

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1/13/2010**Time:** 3 pm**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:****Claims discussed:**

15-23, 25-32, and 35-36

**Prior art documents discussed:****Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed proposal to cancel claims 15-23 and 25-31, and to allow claims 32 and 35-36. Claim 31 was also discussed on Friday, 1/15/2010. Approval for Examiner's amendment cancelling claims 15-23 and 15-31 was given on 1/15/2010 by Diane Furman.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)